JNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
JNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
V.	20-CR-182(VEC)
JONATHAN BURGOS,	
Defendant.	
x	
	Hearing
	New York, N.Y.
	May 6, 2021 10:00 a.m.
Before:	
HON. VALERIE	E. CAPRONI,
	District Judge
APPEAR	RANCES
AUDREY STRAUSS	
United States Attorney for Southern District of New Yo	
DINA MCLEOD JULIANA MURRAY	
Assistant United States Att	corney
RUHNKE & BARRETT Attorneys for Defendant	
DAVID A. RUHNKE DIANE FERRONE	
Also present: Detective Lee Arro	oyo, NYPD

1 (In open court; case called) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state their 2 3 appearance for the record. 4 MS. MURRAY: Good morning, your Honor. Juliana Murray 5 and Dina McLeod for the United States. 6 THE COURT: Good morning. 7 MR. RUHNKE: Good morning, your Honor. David Ruhnke appearing with Mr. Burgos, who is standing to my left, and 8 9 assisted by associate counsel Diane Ferrone, who is also 10 appearing this morning. 11 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. 12 Good morning, Mr. Burgos. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Are we ready to start? 15 MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. MR. RUHNKE: Your Honor, as a housekeeping matter, we 16 17 stipulated admissibility of the exhibits that have been 18 premarked by both sides. 19 THE COURT: Good. That will speed things up. 20 Before we get started, Mr. Burgos, Congress has passed 21 a law that requires me the first time I see a defendant, and 22 this is the first time I have seen you since the law changed, 23 to remind the government of its obligation under the 24 Constitution. Let me turn to that and then we'll turn to the 25 suppression hearing.

Okay? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Not a problem. 3 THE COURT: I direct the prosecution to comply with 4 its obligation under Brady v. Maryland and its progeny to 5 disclose to defense all information that is admissible or not, 6 that is favorable to the defendant, material either to quilt or 7 to punishment, and known to the prosecution. Possible consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of 8 9 individual charges or the entire case, exclusion of evidence, 10 and professional discipline or court sanctions on the attorneys 11 responsible. I have previously entered a written order more 12 fully describing this and the possible consequences of failing 13 to meet it, and I direct the prosecution to review and to 14 comply with that order. 15 Ms. Murray, do you confirm that you understand your 16 obligations and will fulfill them? 17 MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Hang on one second. I am not quite 19 set up here. 20 Call your first witness, Ms. Murray. 21 MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. The government calls 22 Detective Lee Arroyo. 23 THE COURT: Detective. 24 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand. 25 LEE ARROYO,

- called as a witness by the Government,
- 2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. MURRAY:
- 5 Q. Good morning, Detective Arroyo.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 Q. What do you do for work?
- 8 A. I am a New York City police detective.
- 9 Q. How long have you worked for the New York City Police
- 10 | Department?
- 11 A. 10 years.
- 12 | Q. What is your title?
- 13 A. Detective.
- 14 THE COURT: Hang on a second. Let's see if we can get
- 15 | the mics turned up a little bit.
- 16 MS. MURRAY: I can hear the detective.
- 17 | THE COURT: You can hear him, but he is having trouble
- 18 hearing you.
- 19 | Q. Can you hear me now?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Are you assigned to a particular squad at the NYPD?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What squad is that?
- 24 A. Bronx Overdose Squad.
- 25 | Q. How long have you been assigned to that squad?

Arroyo - direct

L566burH

- 1 A. Three years.
- Q. What are your specific duties and responsibilities as a
- 3 detective with the Bronx Overdose Squad?
- 4 A. To investigate nonfatal and fatal drug overdoses.
- 5 | Q. While you worked for the NYPD, approximately how many
- 6 | investigations have you participated in?
- 7 A. Over 100.
- 8 | Q. What types of investigations have you participated in?
- 9 A. Drug conspiracy, violence, and drug overdoses.
- 10 | Q. Turning your attention to March 2019, were you
- 11 | investigating individuals you believed were selling cocaine in
- 12 or around Clason Point Housing in the Soundview neighborhood of
- 13 | the Bronx?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What prompted that investigation?
- 16 A. Yes. We received notification from the 43rd precinct,
- 17 which is in the south section of the Bronx, and they responded
- 18 to a possible double fatal drug overdose.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time when you learned the cause of death
- 20 of those two overdose victims?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What was the cause of death?
- 23 A. Intoxication of cocaine and Fentanyl.
- 24 | Q. Describe the investigative steps that you took when you
- 25 arrived at the scene of those overdose deaths.

24

25

Arroyo - direct

1 The steps that we take are primarily investigation --MR. RUHNKE: Your Honor, I am having trouble hearing 2 3 the detective. Can he speak up just a little? 4 THE COURT: Is there a way to turn up the volume? A. During the preliminary investigation we are responsible for 5 ascertaining information from witnesses, reports, video 6 7 canvasses, the search for narcotics, and the recovery of cell 8 phones of the victims. 9 Q. At the scene of that double overdose death what, if 10 anything, did you recover from the scene? 11 I recovered two cell phones from both victims. 12 THE COURT: Two from each or two total? 13 THE WITNESS: Two total. 14 Q. To be clear, one cell phone from each of the overdose victims? 15 16 Yes. Α. Did there come a time when you searched the contents of the 17 victims' cell phones? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 What, if anything, did you find on the victims' cell phones 21 that was relevant to your investigation? 22 A. During my search into one of the cell phones that belonged to the victim, Joell. I was able to look at two text messages 23

from two individuals. One that goes by the name of John Boy

and the other one goes by the name of Ty Black.

THE COURT: Sorry. Can you give me those two names again?

THE WITNESS: John Boy.

MR. RUHNKE: I didn't hear that either. John?

THE COURT: Boy.

- A. The second text messages were from an individual named Ty Black. T-y, space, Black.
- Q. During your investigation, did there come a time when you were able to determine who the John Boy was?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. How were you able to determine that?
- 12 A. In the cell phone they had a friendship. During the text
- messages in the cell phone, they had a friendship. A friendly
- 14 relationship.
- Q. Were you able to identify any actual individual who was
- 16 using the cell phone number that was listed as John Boy?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. How were you able to determine that?
- 19 A. I was able to determine that by speaking with the mother of 20 the victims.
- Q. What, if anything, did she tell you about the phone number that was attributed to John Boy?
- 23 A. She stated that her son and John Boy were close friends.
- Q. What, if anything, did she tell you about the true identify of John Boy?

- 1 | A. About who he is?
  - Q. Correct.

- 3 A. Yes. She stated his name is Jonathan -- Jonathan Burgos.
- 4 | THE COURT: Jonathan what?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Jonathan Burgos.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- Q. During your investigation into the overdose deaths, did you conduct any interviews with the 911 caller?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Who was that person?
- 11 A. Bobby Ramos.
- 12 Q. What, if anything, did you learn from the initial interview
- 13 | with Bobby Ramos that was relevant to your investigation?
- 14 A. Bobby Ramos, during the initial investigation, he was sort
- 15 | of cooperative. The only thing he stated was that the victims
- 16 were using cocaine.
- 17 | Q. Did there come a time when you interviewed Ramos again?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Approximately when was that second interview?
- 20 A. A few months later.
- 21 Q. What, if anything, did you learn from the second interview
- 22 | of Ramos that was relevant to your investigation?
- 23 | A. Bobby Ramos told me that the day of the incident that he
- 24 received money from Joell and was instructed to purchase
- 25 | narcotics from -- cocaine from an individual named Ty, whose

1 real name is Tyrone Howard.

I wasn't here, and walked away.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- Q. What, if anything, did Bobby Ramos tell you during that investigation during your interview into Jonathan Burgos?
- A. He stated that during the day of the incident when both victims were unresponsive and unconscious, he stated that he the first thing he did was call Jonathan, and moments later Jonathan came to the apartment to where the two individuals were unresponsive, walked to a safe and he said that he observed him removing money and drugs and then told Bobby that

MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, as defense counsel indicated, we have had agreed to the admissibility of exhibits. That is Government Exhibits 1 through 9 and Defense Exhibits 1 through 6. So we would offer those into evidence at this time.

THE COURT: All the exhibits are received.

(Government's Exhibits 1 - 9 received in evidence)

(Defendant's Exhibits 1-6 received in evidence)

MR. RUHNKE: Agreed, your Honor.

- MS. MURRAY: Mr. Rosenburg, can you please publish what has been admitted as Government Exhibit 5.
- 21 BY MS. MURRAY:
- 22 | Q. Detective Arroyo, do you recognize this?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | 0. What does this show?
  - A. It shows an aerial view of the South Bronx section.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

20

21

Arroyo - direct

- Q. Can you identify for us the approximate location on this map of the scene of the double overdose deaths?
  - A. Yes. It's on the left side of the map.
  - Q. Can you describe the intersection or cross-street of that approximate area?
  - A. Lafayette and Noble Avenue.

THE COURT: And what?

8 THE WITNESS: After Lafayette. That is

L-a-f-a-y-e-t-t-e, Avenue. And Noble, N-o-b-l-e.

- Q. Is that the top left of the map where there is a little circular street that says Clason Point Lane; is that the
- 12 | approximate area?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MS. MURRAY: Mr. Rosenburg, you can take that down.
- 15 Q. Detective Arroyo, did there come a time when you began to
- 16 | investigate Tyrone Howard and others for selling cocaine?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Approximately when did that investigation occur?
- 19 A. A few weeks later.
  - THE COURT: A few weeks after the deaths, or second interview with Bobby Ramos?
- 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: You can't answer that yes.
- 24 | THE WITNESS: I couldn't hear what you said.
- 25 THE COURT: Was it shortly after the deaths, or

Arroyo - direct

- 1 | shortly after the second interview with Bobby Ramos?
- THE WITNESS: It was shortly after the deaths.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 BY MS. MURRAY:
- 5 Q. Did that investigation focus on a particular neighborhood?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. What neighborhood is that?
- 8 A. Lafayette, Noble Avenue and Story Avenue and also Soundview
- 9 Avenue.
- 10 Q. Is that in the approximate vicinity of the location of the
- 11 | overdose deaths?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. During your investigation, did you use undercover officers
- 14 or UCs?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. How did you use the undercover officers?
- 17 | A. The undercovers are assigned to the Bronx Overdose Squad to
- 18 | investigate and to ascertain information and also to conduct
- 19 controlled buy operations from individuals who have some kind
- 20 of connection to the victims.
- 21 Q. Did undercover officers purchase narcotics from members of
- 22 | a crew in this investigation?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What kind of narcotics did the undercover officers
- 25 | purchase?

- 1 A. Cocaine.
- 2 Q. Did you use a confidential informant, or CI, in the course
- 3 of the investigation?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How did you use the CI?
- 6 A. The CI was used for information.
- Q. What kind of information did the CI provide that was
- 8 | relevant to your investigation?
- 9 A. The CI provided me with information about Jonathan Burgos's
- 10 vehicles, what he does for a living. He provided that he works
- 11 | for a male named Vernon, who is a super for an outdoor mall.
- 12 | He stated -- the CI stated also that Jonathan Burgos was in
- 13 charge of the majority of the cocaine that was being sold in
- 14 | the area. The CI stated that Jonathan had a close relationship
- 15 | with Joell. The CI stated that Joell owed Jonathan money. The
- 16 | CI stated that Jonathan has a girlfriend named Rachel.
- 17 | Q. You mentioned vehicles. What, if anything, did the CI
- 18 | state about the vehicles that Jonathan Burgos used?
- 19 A. The CI stated that Jonathan operates the white van, a white
- 20 box truck. He also owns an ice cream truck and an older model
- 21 | box truck. I believe it was yellow and orange in color and
- 22 | they were usually parked on Noble Avenue, Soundview Avenue.
- 23 | THE COURT: Sorry. This is not your fault, but the
- 24 sound system here is terrible. Tell me again what vehicles the
- 25 | informant said were associated with Burgos.

1 THE WITNESS: Correct. It is going to be a white ice 2 cream truck. 3 THE COURT: A white SUV? 4 THE WITNESS: Ice cream truck. 5 THE COURT: White ice cream truck? THE WITNESS: White mini work van. 6 7 THE COURT: A white work van. THE WITNESS: A white box truck. 8 9 THE COURT: A what? 10 THE WITNESS: Box truck. 11 THE COURT: So three white vehicles -- a work van, an 12 ice cream truck, and a box van? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 MS. MURRAY: A box truck. 15 THE COURT: A box truck. BY MS. MURRAY: 16 17 Detective Arroyo, during the investigation, did you observe 18 the defendant driving multiple vehicles? 19 Α. Yes. 20 What vehicles did you observe the defendant driving? 21 I observed him driving in the white van, work van. I 22 observed him driving in a white box truck. I also observed him 23 driving in a black MDX Acura and also a Nissan. 24 What color was the Nissan? Ο.

25

Α.

Gray.

Arroyo - direct

- THE COURT: What color?

  THE WITNESS: Gray.
- Q. Where did the defendant park the vehicles that you observed him driving?
  - A. The majority of the time they were parked on Noble Avenue and inside of a parking lot on Soundview Avenue.
  - MS. MURRAY: Mr. Rosenburg, can you publish what has been admitted as Government Exhibit 6.
  - Q. Detective Arroyo, do you recognize this?
- 10 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

- 11 | Q. What is this a photograph of?
- 12 A. This is a photograph of a parking lot on Soundview Avenue.
- 13 Parking lot on Soundview Avenue.
- Q. Is this the parking lot that you referred to that Jonathan used to park vehicles?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, taking a look at this photograph, did you observe the defendant driving any of the vehicles in this photograph?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. Which vehicle?
- A. The white van to your far left. And far right behind those bushes there is the black Accura.
- Q. Detective Arroyo, in this photo, does it appear there is a
- 24 license plate on the back of that white van?
- 25 A. No.

Arroyo - direct

- 1 MS. MURRAY: Thank you, Mr. Rosenburg. You can take 2 that down.
  - Q. During the course of your investigation, did you run registrations of any of the vehicles you observed the defendant driving?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

- Q. Do you have a specific recollection of running any vehicle registrations in a law enforcement databases?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What were the results of the vehicle registration checks
  11 that you recall having conducted?
- 12 A. I conducted a check for the Nissan, which returned to a
  13 woman named Rachel Ocasio.
- 14 THE COURT: Spell the last name.
- 15 THE WITNESS: O-c-a-i-s-o.
- 16 MR. RUHNKE: For the record it is 0-c-a-s-i-o, Ocasio.
- Q. Detective Arroyo, you said that the Nissan was registered to Rachel Ocasio; is that correct?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you determine the registration of any of the other vehicles that you observed John Burgos driving?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Which vehicle?
- 24 A. Box truck.
- 25 Q. What color is that box truck?

Arroyo - direct

- 1 A. White.
- 2 Q. Who was that box truck registered to?
- 3 A. The same woman, Rachel.
- 4 | Q. Did there come a time when you learned whether Rachel
- 5 Ocasio was affiliated with any of the subjects of your
- 6 | investigation?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. How did you learn that?
- 9  $\parallel$  A. The CI.
- 10 Q. What did you learn?
- 11 A. The CI stated that Rachel is the girlfriend to Jonathan
- 12 | Burgos.
- 13 | Q. Detective Arroyo, what, if anything, do you recall about
- 14 whether there were license plates on the other vehicles you
- 15 | observed Jonathan Burgos driving?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What do you recall?
- 18 A. I recall the CI mentioning that Jonathan uses a lot of
- 19 | temporary plates on his vehicles.
- 20 | Q. Based on your training and experience, what, if anything,
- 21 do you know about temporary license plates that is relevant to
- 22 registration searches?
- 23 | A. The majority of them are unable to be run on our New York
- 24 | City Police Department database, which end result comes back to
- 25 | no results.

Arroyo - direct

- Q. Detective Arroyo, turning your attention to September 13th, 2 2019, did an undercover drug purchase occur on that day?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Approximately where did that purchase take place?
- A. Lafayette and Noble Avenue.

THE COURT: Hang on one second. One thing that will make it better is for you to stay back off the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Speak up nice and loud, but don't lean into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: That's okay.

- BY MS. MURRAY:
- Q. Detective Arroyo, what involvement, if any, did you have in that September 13th controlled buy?
- 16 A. I was assigned ghost.

that information.

- 17 | Q. Can you describe what you mean by ghost?
  - A. A ghost is responsible to make sure that the undercover is safe and also to -- basically you are the eyes and ears to the field team and you are providing information as the case -- as the operation is going to the team and making sure everything is going as smooth as possible. Following the money. You know, observing where the subject is going to purchase the drugs and who is he speaking to at the time and to gather all

Arroyo - direct

- Q. Now, on September 13th, 2019, while you were ghosting, were you able to observe the buy that took place?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Describe what you observed?
- A. So the -- the undercover made contact with Bobby Ramos and they agreed on purchasing narcotics, the undercover was observed on Lafayette Avenue where he -- moments later Bobby Ramos exited the building where the victims were found. I observed them engage in a drug-related conversation. Moments later the undercover gave a signal stating that he gave the money to Ramos, at which point I observed Bobby walking to the corner of Lafayette and Noble Avenue. He turns right on Noble, walks down Noble towards Story Avenue. Towards the middle of that block, I observed a few males standing on the sidewalk. One of them appears to be working on a white van -- work van. I believe, if I am not mistaken, he was on his knees or crouched down.

As Bobby approached the males, the male that was working on the van stands up. He approached Bobby. It appeared that they were in conversation and some sort of hand exchange. Bobby Ramos then turns around, walks back towards Noble Avenue and Lafayette where he met with the undercover. I observed again another conversation between the undercover and Bobby Ramos. Moments later they break it off. They walk their separate ways. The undercover then gives me the sign that he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

Arroyo - direct

1 | received drugs from Bobby Ramos.

Once the undercover walked back to the vehicle, I advised the team that I needed to do a walk-by where Bobby Ramos was talking to the individual so I can properly, you know -- I can't speak now -- so I can identify the subject he was talking to. As I approached walking by, I got a chance to look at the individual who was working on the vehicle and also that same individual that engaged in conversation with Bobby Ramos and I told the team positive that the individual that Bobby was talking to was in fact Jonathan Burgos.

- Q. Now, at the time of that buy, was Jonathan Burgos known to you from your investigation?
- 13 | A. Yes.
  - MS. MURRAY: Mr. Rosenburg, can you please pull up what has been admitted as Government Exhibit 6.
  - Q. Detective Arroyo, is the white van Burgos working on during the September 13th buy one of the vehicles shown in this photograph?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | O. Which one?
- 21 | A. The left white work van.
- Q. You testified that you observed Burgos driving that white van during your investigation. Did you observe anyone other than Burgos driving that white van?
- 25 A. No.

Arroyo - direct

- Q. Do you have a recollection of whether there was a license plate on that white van on September 13th, 2018?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Do you recall having recorded a license plate for that
- 5 white van on September 13th, 2019?
- 6 A. Repeat, please.
- 7 Q. Do you recall having recorded a license plate number for
- 8 | the white van on September 13th, 2019?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 Q. Do your reports relating to that buy include any license
- 11 | plate information for that white van?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. Do you have a specific recollection of having searched for
- 14 | the registration of that white van in law enforcement
- 15 | databases?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Do you have any other identifying information about that
- 18 white van in your files?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. To recap, during your investigation approximately how many
- 21 | vehicles did you observe Burgos driving?
- 22 A. Approximately five.
- 23 Q. Approximately how many of those vehicles were white?
- 24 A. Three.
- 25 | Q. For how many of those vehicles did you run registration

- 1 searches?
- 2 | A. Two.
- 3 | Q. Detective Arroyo, did there come a time when you prepared
- 4 | to arrest members of the crew?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Approximately when was that?
- 7 | A. Early -- early 2020.
- 8 | Q. Who were you preparing to arrest?
- 9 A. Jonathan Burgos, Tyrone Howard, Bobby Ramos, Odalys.
- 10 | Q. Did you work with a prosecutor to prepare a charging
- 11 | document?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What type of charging document?
- 14 A. Complaint.
- 15 | Q. Do you recall having discussed the complaint with the
- 16 | prosecutor as it was being prepared?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Do you recall the prosecutor asking you whether the white
- 19 car referenced in the September 13th, 2019, report was
- 20 registered to Burgos?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Do you recall having said that the white car was registered
- 23 | to the Burgos?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you write the complaint?

Arroyo - direct

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Did you read a draft of the complaint before swearing it
- 3 out?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have a specific recollection of reading language in
- 6 the complaint saying that the white car Ramos pointed out
- 7 during the September 13th, 2019, buy was registered to Burgos?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Detective Arroyo, looking at Government Exhibit 6, is the
- 10 white car referenced in the complaint one of the vehicles shown
- 11 | in this photograph?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | 0. Which one?
- 14 A. White van. White work van.
- MS. MURRAY: Thank you, Mr. Rosenburg. You can take
- 16 | that down.
- 17 | Q. Detective Arroyo, do you review criminal complaints to
- 18 ensure their accuracy before swearing them out?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Do you review warrants to ensure their accuracy before
- 21 swearing them out?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Did there come a time when you learned that the statement
- 24 | in the complaint about the white car's registration was
- 25 | inaccurate?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Approximately when did you come to learn that?
- 3 A. March.

4

5

- Q. Of what year?
- A. 2020.
- 6 Q. How did you learn the information --
- 7 MR. RUHNKE: I didn't hear that.
- 8 Did you say 2020?
  - THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. RUHNKE: Thank you.
- 11 Q. How did you learn the information about the registration
- 12 | was inaccurate?
- 13 A. I received a call from you asking for registration
- 14 | information in regards to that white vehicle.
- 15 Q. What did you do when you were asked for registration
- 16 | information for that white car referenced in the complaint?
- 17 A. I went back to reading the reports looking at those and
- 18 which at that point I realized I did not have anything in
- 19 | regards to that white van.
- 20 Q. Detective Arroyo, what, if anything, was happening
- 21 personally around the time of that request for the registration
- 22 | information that may have affected your ability to respond to
- 23 | the request?
- 24 A. I was battling COVID-19.
- 25 Q. Did there come a time you were hospitalized for COVID-19?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. For approximately how long?
- 3 A. Three weeks.
- 4 | Q. After you recovered, did you return to work?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you search your case files for information about the
- 7 | white car registration when you returned to work?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What, if anything, did you find?
- 10  $\parallel$  A. I did not find anything.
- 11 | Q. In your case files did you find registration information
- 12 | for any of the cars that you observed Burgos driving?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 | Q. Which cars were those?
  - A. White box truck and the Nissan -- gray Nissan.
- 16 | Q. Detective Arroyo, why did you swear to language in the
- 17 | complaint about the white car being registered to Burgos?
- 18 A. So when I read the complaint, I misread that section of the
- 19 complaint. I was -- I believe that what I was reading was --
- 20 should have stated is Jonathan Burgos the owner of the vehicle
- 21 | versus what I read -- what was actually on the report, which
- 22 said registered.
- 23 \ Q. So at the time you swore out the complaint, did you have an
- 24 understanding of Burgos's affiliation with that white car?
- 25 A. Yes.

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- 1 | Q. How did you have that understanding?
- $2 \parallel A$ . The CI.
- 3 | Q. What was your understanding?
- 4 A. The CI stated that Jonathan Burgos is the owner of that
- 5 vehicle, that he uses it for work.
- Q. During your investigation, did you observe Burgos also driving that white van?
  - A. Yes.

8

- MS. MURRAY: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Mr. Ruhnke.
- MR. RUHNKE: I apologize, your Honor. I needed a
- 12 moment to locate something.
- 13 | THE COURT: Again, take your time.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. RUHNKE:
- 16 | Q. Detective, I want to start with discussing your testimony
- 17 before the United States grand jury that returned the
- 18 | indictment in this case.
- 19 Do you recall testifying in the grand jury?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21  $\mid Q$ . The date of your testimony is 1-4-2020.
- Detective, do you recall being asked in the grand jury
- about the undercover buy that you just described in September
- 24 | of 2019?
- 25 THE COURT: Hang on a second, Detective.

1

2

Arroyo - cross

- I am going to ask you to speak up a little bit and pull the mic closer.
- 3 MR. RUHNKE: Your Honor, is that better?
- 4 THE COURT: That's much better.
- 5 Q. Directing you to the buy in September 25, 2019.
- You say that you observed that buy; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you also tell the grand jury at that time that law
- 9 enforcement had investigated the ownership of the white car
- 10 | that was utilized allegedly by Mr. Burgos on that date?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Did you testify as follows, and I quote:
- 13 "Q. What, if anything, were you able to determine about that
- 14 | car?
- 15 "A. We were able to determine that the vehicle was registered
- 16 to Jonathan Burgos."
- Do you recall giving that testimony under oath before
- 18 | the grand jury?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | O. Was that true?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. It's true that you found out that the vehicle was
- 23 registered to Jonathan Burgos?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. So that was not true, was it?

24

25

complaint.

Arroyo - cross

1 The way registration to me meant ownership. So I was just confused with registration from ownership at the time. 2 3 Q. Okay. I am going to try to locate the language. 4 You did not refer to the grand jury to the vehicle as 5 being a white van, did you? A. I don't recall. 6 7 MR. RUHNKE: Can we have a stipulation, your Honor, 8 that he never referred to the grand jury as a white van? 9 MS. MURRAY: I'd have to the look at the transcript 10 before I can stipulate to that. 11 I direct your attention to the affidavit that you swore out 12 in this case. 13 Do you have a copy of that in front of you? 14 Can we give him a copy of the complaint and the affidavit that was sworn? 15 It's judicially noticeable, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: You should have it in the 3500. 18 MR. RUHNKE: Yes. THE COURT: It is 3500 what? 19 20 MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor, it's --21 THE COURT: 3500-01-46 is the complaint. 22 MS. MCLEOD: That's a draft. 23 THE COURT: I am sorry. This is a draft of the

MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, I have a hard copy of the

L566burH Arroyo - cross

filed complaint from the briefing in this matter, which was filed at Docket No. 89-1.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. RUHNKE:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. I have on the screen the Jencks material.

Do you see that in front of you?

7 THE COURT: This is not the signed version I am told.

Is there a difference between this one and the signed version?

MR. RUHNKE: I have a signed copy, 20 Magistrate 137 docketed. The *Jencks* one is not signed.

MS. MURRAY: Do you want me to give the witness the signed copy?

MR. RUHNKE: Yes.

THE COURT: You are giving him a copy of the signed version of the complaint?

MS. MURRAY: That's correct. This is Docket 89-1.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

BY MR. RUHNKE:

- Q. Do you have that in front of you, Detective?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Would you look at page 4 of the complaint, paragraph F.
- 22 | A. Okay.
- Q. I am going to read that into the record. You tell me
  whether I have read it accurately.
- 25 On or about September 13, 2019, Undercover 3 met with

Arroyo - cross

- Ramos in the vicinity of the complex to purchase narcotics. 1 During the meeting, Ramos identified a white car(Car-1) from 2 3 which Ramos stated he was going to retrieve the narcotics. 4 Ramos then left UC 3 and returned with approximately five 5 glassine envelopes, which Ramos sold to UC 3. The contents of 6 those envelopes tested positive for the presence of cocaine. 7 Based on my review of law enforcement databases, I have learned that Car-1, i.e., the car from which Ramos 8 9 retrieved the cocaine and sold to UC 3 was registered to 10 Burgos, and that law enforcement has surveilled Burgos driving
  - Did I read that accurately?

Car-1 in the vicinity of the complex.

13 A. Yes.

11

- Q. Did you swear under penalties of perjury that that statement was true?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Is it true?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 Q. This is very specific, Detective, I respectfully suggest.
- 20 You said that you personally -- your review of law enforcement
- 21 databases. What law enforcement databases did you review that
- 22 | showed you the car was registered to Burgos?
- 23 | A. The law enforcement databases that I reviewed were the
- 24 | white box truck and the Nissan that was registered to his
- 25 girlfriend. When I ran that last part of this section, I just

- L566burH Arroyo - cross simply made a mistake, registered. The way I saw it it was 1 owned instead of the word registered. It was just a mistake. 2 3 Q. You said you reviewed law enforcement databases and that 4 the vehicle was registered to Jonathan Burgos. That is just 5 false; correct? That is not true? A. No. There are vehicles that I had in my case file that 6 7 were registered to Jonathan Burgos. So that statement is false? 8 9 That Section of F, yes. Α. 10 All right. I am going to display (unintelligible). 0. 11 THE COURT: I did not understand a word you said. 12 don't know if the court reporter did or not. 13 MR. RUHNKE: I am sorry? 14 THE COURT: I did not understand a word you just said. I don't know whether the court reporter did or not. 15 16 MR. RUHNKE: I am just going to display some exhibits, 17 your Honor. I have to step away. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. RUHNKE: I will have to be over here. 20 THE COURT: You have to keep your mask on.
- 21 MR. RUHNKE: Can you hear me?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. RUHNKE: Sorry.
- 24 BY MR. RUHNKE:

25

Q. I am just going to display a series of documents.

Arroyo - cross

- Is that the ice cream truck that you say you observed?

  THE COURT: This is Defense Exhibit 1.
- 3 Q. Defense Exhibit 1.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Defense Exhibit 2, is that the box truck that you stated vou had observed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Defense Exhibit 3, is that the gray Nissan you stated you observed?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Defense Exhibit 4, we've seen this photo before.
- Now, the white van that is parked there, you described it as a white van; right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. In the grand jury testimony and in the sworn affidavit, you never refer to a white van; correct?
- 17 | A. I don't recall.
- 18 | O. Well --

19

20

- MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, the government can stipulate that Detective Arroyo referred to that white van in the grand jury as a "white car" and as a "white vehicle."
- Q. I am looking at a document, which is Defense Exhibit 5,
  which is a report of your interviews with -- sorry. It's a
  report of the actual buy on that particular date. This is on
- 25 September -- sorry. Let me just look at the date. It's

L566burH Arroyo - cross

1 | September 13th, 2019.

This is the report of the undercover buy we've been talking about; correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. This is not your --

THE COURT: Wait a second. I thought this was a March

19th buy. Did I invert the numbers?

MS. MURRAY: It was a September 13th, 2019, buy, your Honor. The overdose deaths occurred in March 2019.

THE COURT: I am sorry. Say that again.

MS. MURRAY: Sure. The buy in question was on September 13th, 2019.

THE COURT: Okay. Either you misspoke, or I inverted the numbers.

So the undercover buy that you testified about occurred on September 13th, 2019?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 18 BY MR. RUHNKE:
- 19 Q. This report in evidence talks about a white vehicle;
  20 correct?
- 21 A. Just give me one second. Let me read the report.

22 Yes.

- Q. In your grand jury testimony, you never refer to the vehicle as a van; correct?
- 25 A. No.

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- Q. No, I am not correct; or no, you never refer to it as a van?
  - A. No, I never refer to it as a van.
    - Q. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. RUHNKE: Some of the discovery that has been produced to us includes law enforcement registration checks, and I would ask the government if they would not mind displaying Government Exhibit 1.
- Q. Now, you testified that you had run some registration checks at some point; correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. Looking at Government Exhibit 1, do you recall what the date was of this data check?
- If you look in the upper right-hand corner, it will give you the answer.
- 16 A. April 4.
- 17 | Q. of 2020?
- 18 A. 2020.
- Q. That was after you swore your affidavit in support of the plea, after the grand jury testimony, and after the indictment
- 21 | had been returned in the case; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So this was not part of your pre-complaint, pre-indictment investigation; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- Let's go to Government Exhibit 2. 1
- This again is another motor vehicle check? 2
- 3 MR. RUHNKE: It's in evidence, your Honor.
- 4 It's another motor vehicle check on April 14th, 2020;
- 5 correct?
- Yes. 6 Α.

- 7 Let's go to Government Exhibit 3.
- Again, April 4th, 2020. Motor vehicle check run by 8
- you after anything in terms of indictment and complaint and
- 10 arrests had taken place; correct?
- Yes, the checks were run by me. 11
- 12 But run by someone on those dates?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- It is part of your case file; right? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- The same thing is true with regard to Government Exhibit 3? 16 0.
- 17 THE COURT: You need to talk slower and louder.
- MR. RUHNKE: Okay, your Honor. 18
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 MR. RUHNKE: Just getting used to the system.
- 21 THE COURT: I know. It sucks. There is no other way
- 22 to put it. I hate it. There is no point having a hearing if I
- 23 cannot hear you and understand you.
- 24 MR. RUHNKE: I am sorry.
- 25 THE COURT: Ditto with the witness.

3

4

7

11

Arroyo - cross

- 1 MR. RUHNKE: Your Honor, I never had a judge complain 2 about my not talking loudly enough.
  - Q. So is there anywhere, Detective, in your file any evidence that you ran motor vehicle checks prior to the complaint being
- 5 | filed? Any record or any documentation?
- 6 A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Well, do you have any that you remember?
- A. I remember the white box truck. I remember the Nissan. I remember several other vehicles with temporary plates that did not come back to any record on file because we were unable to
- Q. You testified also regarding your interaction with an undercover confidential informant; correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | THE COURT: Wait a minute. Undercover?
- MR. RUHNKE: I am sorry.
- 17 | Q. A confidential informant; correct?

determine those temporary plates.

- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What is that person's background in terms of criminal
- 20 history?
- 21 | A. I don't recall.
- 22 | Q. Does the person have prior felony convictions?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- 24 | Q. Is the person serving as a confidential informant for
- 25 money?

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. How much money has this person been paid by the state of
- 3 New York, New York Police Department?
- 4 A. \$200.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . Is that by you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 THE COURT: Is that total?
- 8 THE WITNESS: No. That's not total.
- 9 0. What is the total?
- 10 A. That -- I don't recall the total.
- 11 Q. This was a full-time paid confidential informant, someone
- 12 giving you information for money; right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MR. RUHNKE: Can I have Government Exhibit 8.
- 15 | Q. Is this a report, Detective, that you filed; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. This deals with your interaction with the confidential
- 18 | informant; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. It has information about vehicles that Jonathan Burgos
- 21 | owns; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What is the date of this report?
- 24 A. October -- October 1st, 2019.
- 25 | Q. October 1, 2019; correct?

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. In this Detective Division 5D5 report, he provided
- 3 | information that I am going to quote: "John John has a new box
- 4 | truck and has been driving around with the license plate
- 5 | number, " and there is a number given. "The vehicle can be found
- 6 parked around Noble Avenue."
- 7 MR. RUHNKE: Let me go to the next exhibit. Let me
- 8 | have Government Exhibit 9.
- 9 Q. Government Exhibit 9 is another report that you prepared;
- 10 correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. This has to do with information that this confidential
- 13 | informant gave you regarding certain vehicles; correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. There is a reference to a box truck and a Nissan Xterra;
- 16 correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. There is a reference to an ice cream truck and that is in
- 19 paragraph 1; correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. In paragraph 2 now you say you did run a registration check
- 22 | and it came back to Rachel Ocasio for the box truck; correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. The Nissan Xterra also Rachel Ocasio, and the Xterra is
- 25 gray in color; correct?

L566burH Arroyo - cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Is there any description at all of a white van in any of
- 3 | the information given to you or at least reported by you in
- 4 these documents? In reference to a white van?
- 5 A. In this one document, yes. Oh, no, in this document
- 6 particularly.
- 7 | Q. Is there any document where the confidential informant
- 8 discloses to you a white van?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 0. When did the white car become a white van?
- 11 | A. The white car? The white car -- we consider a white car a
- 12 white van. It's a vehicle. White vehicle. We consider it all
- 13 | the same.
- 14 | Q. So if you talk about a white van, you are being specific.
- 15 | If you are talking about an ice cream truck, you are being
- 16 | specifically. If you are talking about a box truck, you are
- 17 being specific.
- 18 Your statement to the grand jury and in your sworn
- 19 | complaint, which you acknowledge is was wrong, inaccurate and
- 20 | false, was that he was driving a white car registered to.
- 21 Am I summarizing that accurately?
- 22 A. According to my grand jury minutes, I did mention white
- 23 vehicle.
- 24 | Q. But you also said white car?
- 25 A. But I said white vehicle also and I said car in that same

L566burH Arroyo - redirect

- 1 sentence.
- 2 | Q. You never said anything about white van?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. No, you did not?
- 5 | A. No.
- Q. You would agree with me that you never said anything about
- 7 | white van?
- MS. MURRAY: Objection, your Honor. Asked and
- 9 answered.
- THE COURT: The answer is, yes, he did not say the
- 11 | white van?
- 12 | Q. You did not say white van; right?
- 13 A. No, just white vehicle.
- 14 MR. RUHNKE: I think it's clear.
- I have nothing further, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 17 Any redirect, Ms. Murray?
- MS. MCLEOD: Can you give us one second, your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Of course. Take your time.
- 20 MS. MURRAY: Just briefly, your Honor.
- 21 Mr. Rosenburg, can you please publish what is in
- 22 | evidence as Government Exhibit 9.
- 23 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. MURRAY:

25

Q. Detective Arroyo, defense counsel just asked you a few

Arroyo - redirect

- 1 questions about this report.
- 2 What is the date of this report?
- 3 A. December 17th, 2019.
- 4 MR. RUHNKE: I didn't hear the answer.
- 5 A. December 17th, 2019.
- Q. Can you remind us approximately when the complaint was
- 7 | sworn out in this case?
- 8 A. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. I believe you have the complaint in front of you, Detective
- 10 Arroyo, if it would refresh.
- 11 A. March. Sorry, one second.
- 12 | February 3rd, 2020.
- 13 | Q. Looking again at Government Exhibit 9, which is a
- 14 December 17th, 2019, report --
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- what does this report reflect about whether you
- 17 conducted registration checks for vehicles you had observed
- 18 | Burgos driving?
- 19 A. The registrations?
- 20 | O. Yes.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What does this reflect about whether you had been
- 23 conducting registration checks on December 17, 2019, for
- 24 | vehicles that you had observed Burgos driving?
- 25 | A. I observed -- these registrations were checked prior to the

	L566burH Arroyo - redirect
1	complaint.
2	MS. MURRAY: Nothing further.
3	Thank you, your Honor.
4	MR. RUHNKE: I have nothing further, your Honor, on
5	recross.
6	THE COURT: I am sorry. I think I missed the point of
7	your last question.
8	Does this reflect that there were runs of the
9	registrations of those vehicles?
10	MS. MURRAY: Yes, paragraphs 2 and 3. That's what Mr.
11	Ruhnke had inquired into on cross-examination. Mr. Ruhnke had
12	just not inquired into the date that these registration checks
13	had been run.
14	THE COURT: Yes, but paragraph 2 says that the CI
15	stated that the owner of the vehicle it's poorly worded.
16	The CI is saying it is registered to whomever. I thought your
17	question was whether he had run registration checks.
18	MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. I think you might be
19	looking at Government Exhibit 8.
20	THE COURT: Yes, I am.
21	MS. MURRAY: Government Exhibit 9 is the exhibit I
22	just inquired.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I was looking at the wrong exhibit.

Mr. Ruhnke, did you have any further?

MR. RUHNKE: Nothing further.

24

25

L566burH Arroyo - redirect

1	THE COURT: Nothing further from the government?
2	MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	I have a question.
5	When were you diagnosed with COVID?
6	THE WITNESS: I was diagnosed with COVID April 1st. I
7	was in the hospital for three weeks. I didn't come back until
8	April 28th, I believe. I was unable to return full duty to
9	work until June 1st.
10	THE COURT: How long were you sick before you were
11	hospitalized?
12	THE WITNESS: I was sick I tried to fight it out a
13	week before. I couldn't breathe. I wasn't breathing. A week
14	into the hospital, I was put on oxygen.
15	THE COURT: Okay. I am just trying to figure out when
16	you got sick.
17	Are you okay now?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, perfect.
19	THE COURT: Good. Well, as perfect as ever.
20	You may step down.
21	(Witness excused)
22	THE COURT: Anything further from the government?
23	MS. MURRAY: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Does the defense have anything?
25	MR. RUHNKE: Nothing additional, your Honor.

Arroyo - redirect

1	THE COURT: The hearing is closed.
2	Does the government want to argue it?
3	MS. MURRAY: Just a moment, your Honor.
4	We would like to briefly argue, your Honor, if I could
5	have a few minutes.
6	THE COURT: Of course. Why don't we break for five
7	minutes and you can get your thoughts together.
8	MR. RUHNKE: Thank you, your Honor.
9	(Recess)
10	THE COURT: Ms. Murray.
11	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, would the Court like us to
12	excuse the witness before we do argument?
13	THE COURT: Yes, I probably should. If the case goes
14	forward, he will be a witness.
15	Detective, out into the hallway you go.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, just briefly.
18	Law enforcement observed Jonathan Burgos driving
19	multiple cars during the course of their investigation; and
20	Detective Arroyo did run registration checks of some of those
21	cars prior to having sworn out the complaint, and two of the
22	cars specifically came back to Jonathan Burgos's girlfriend.
23	Detective Arroyo confused the concept of ownership
24	with registration. There is no evidence that this was
25	deliberate. In fact, the evidence that the detective had in

L566burH	Arroyo - redirect
addition to the r	registration of that car was as probative of
probable cause as	the registration. He had information from a
confidential info	ermant that had been corroborated.
THE COUR	RT: I am going to ask you to really slow down.
MS. MURR	RAY: I am sorry.
THE COUR	RT: The acoustics in this room are terrible.
It is not that ya	a'll can hear. From my perspective, it is very
difficult to hear	particularly when you are in the box.
MS. MURR	RAY: Understood.
The last	point I made, your Honor, there is no
evidence that thi	s was deliberate because the evidence that
Detective Arroyo	had was as probative of probable cause as to
Jonathan Burgos a	as the registration information for this van.
THE COUR	RT: That wasn't included.
MS. MURR	RAY: That wasn't included in the complaint.
That is correct,	your Honor.
Defense	counsel's points on cross-examination are
nitpicking on ter	ms. Car versus vehicle versus van. Detective
Arroyo didn't inv	vent the white van. He saw a photo of the
white van and a w	white box truck and a white ice cream truck.
There were simply	multiple white cars. This is one isolated

statement that the detective believed was accurate. believed the phrasing was accurate at the time, which is why he also testified about this in the grand jury. And then when was asked in March of 2020 for records of registration, he didn't

Arroyo - redirect

lie. He said he looked through his file and he had no records of the registration, and he described it as a mistake.

For those reasons, your Honor, we ask that the Court finds that there was no deliberate falsehood or reckless disregard for the truth in the statement in the complaint.

MR. RUHNKE: Let me know, please, if I cannot be heard or I am not speaking clearly. This is all very difficult.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. RUHNKE: Your Honor, at the very best the detective's assertion of having run a registration check personally of Jonathan Burgos's car was reckless. We're limited to what is in the affidavit and the complaint in terms of the motion to suppress, and it is telling that the affidavit that he submitted makes no mention whatsoever of having quote/unquote ghosting this undercover buy, having gone by the white van, having personally identified Jonathan Burgos as driving the white van on that day. There is nothing in the complaint, affidavit that matches that.

It is specific. The language that he uses is not just I learned. He says -- and I am referring to the 3500 exhibit, which your Honor has at page 4, paragraph F -- I have learned that Car-1, i.e., the car from which Burgos retrieved the cocaine and sold to UC 3 was registered to Burgos, and that law enforcement has surveilled Burgos driving Car 1 in the vicinity of the complex. There is no mention of who or where or when

that happened.

I think it's frankly absurd to argue he was mixing up the word "registration" and "ownership" and that by describing a car when he really meant a van he was using the word vehicle. The best statement on this is this was a reckless disregard for the truth, and I believe there is evidence this was just a flatout lie to make the complaint and the affidavit stronger than it was.

You have a suggestion that a crew was dealing -THE COURT: Say that again.

MR. RUHNKE: All we have is that there was some crew of people dealing drugs in the affidavit. None of the detail that he provided today is in the affidavits that support this complaint and the searches.

THE COURT: That's true, but I think everybody agrees that my chore is to decide whether the inaccuracy in the complaint was a result of a deliberate falsehood or reckless disregard. Deliberate falsehood doesn't make any sense because they had all kinds of evidence that was at least as persuasive as the car registration.

Right?

MR. RUHNKE: No, your Honor, the evidence is just general.

THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. Maybe I misunderstood him or maybe you didn't hear him. The detective

Arroyo - redirect

testified that as the ghost he observed Ramos talk to the undercover who is purchasing drugs, break away from him, walk over to the white vehicle where Ramos -- I am sorry -- where Burgos was. Burgos and Ramos then have a conversation. There was a pass of something, presumably narcotics. Ramos then walks back to the undercover, gives him the drugs and he gets the money; and that the ghost saw all of that and that the ghost then walks past the set where the vehicle and the four men, including Mr. Burgos, were; confirmed that the person he saw hand the package to Ramos was in fact Burgos. He knew from the investigation. Presumably he had seen a picture of him.

That is compelling evidence that would name Mr. Burgos as a participant in the drug organization.

MR. RUHNKE: Compelling if true. Compelling if true.

None of that appears in any report prepared by this detective. The information does not appear in the affidavit that your Honor is scrutinizing. I am wondering when the first time is that he told anybody that he had walked over there looking at the notes that were prepared as part of the Jencks production in this case, which we mostly got late yesterday afternoon. It appears that it has been as recently as prep for this suppression hearing that the first time he ever told anybody.

Why in the world wouldn't you report that activity if it actually happened is beyond me, your Honor. It's strange.

25

Arroyo - redirect

The point is none of it is in the affidavit. This is all just 1 2 after the fact. 3 THE COURT: That's true. The purpose of the hearing 4 is to make a decision whether the inaccurate statement in the 5 complaint was deliberately put in. So if I credit his 6 testimony that I just layed out -- he was the ghost, that is 7 what he saw -- you would agree if that is credited the notion that this was a deliberate falsehood makes no sense. They had 8 9 more than enough probable cause. 10 MR. RUHNKE: It makes no sense to not include that 11 information in any of the key reports that he filed. I suggest 12 respectfully he may have made that up. 13 THE COURT: You're quarreling with my premise. 14 I am asking you to assume for these purposes that I 15 credit his testimony -- (A) that he was a ghost and (B) what he If I credit that testimony, do you agree that the idea 16 17 that this was a deliberate falsehood does not make sense? 18 MR. RUHNKE: I disagree. 19 Why? Now, don't quarrel with my premise. THE COURT: 20 MR. RUHNKE: I accept your premise. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. RUHNKE: I believe he had a lot more information 23 that he somehow didn't share with anybody despite its obvious 24 importance. I believe that is true. It still doesn't change

the fact that he deliberately or recklessly stated that he had

Arroyo - redirect

searched law enforcement databases and that the vehicles was registered to Burgos when that was conceivably a false statement. That's my position.

THE COURT: All right. I hear you.

There is a third possibility, which is that he was negligent, that he was relying on his memory in February for something that had occurred in September. So because he had observed Burgos driving the white van and he had run other registration tags on other cars that Burgos drove that in his head he had confirmed Burgos was the driver of that vehicle and therefore he was negligent when he presumably told prosecutors and signed the affidavit saying that he had confirmed that Burgos was the registered owner of the white vehicle from which the drugs were obtained.

MR. RUHNKE: That's not what he says. He says in the complaint based on my review of law enforcement databases, I have determined that. That's his statement. He is not saying, I don't recall what happened. He is saying, I did that. I reviewed law enforcement databases and I learned that the white car was registered to Burgos — a false statement, a detailed statement.

It is not just I learned from a source. It's: I learned from law enforcement databases. He is not saying he was told by someone. He is saying, I learned from law enforcement databases. That is not a failure of memory. That

1	is a deliberate lie respectfully.	
2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	MR. RUHNKE: Thank you, your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Anything else?	
5	MR. RUHNKE: No.	
6	THE COURT: Ms. Murray.	
7	MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor. Just on your point	
8	about if you were to credit the detective's testimony that this	
9	would not be a deliberate falsehood, we completely agree. This	
10	would be an absurd thing to lie about deliberately because it	
11	is a proveable fact. It is verifiable. Registration	
12	information is verifiable.	
13	As your Honor noted in the oral argument before this	
14	hearing, the complaint is inartully worded. We concede that	
15	certainly. But as your Honor heard during testimony today,	
16	there was ample probable cause as to Jonathan Burgos, including	
17	from this particular buy.	
18	THE COURT: Even if I agree that it's not a deliberate	
19	falsehood, because it doesn't make any sense there would be a	
20	deliberate falsehood if I credit the balance of the testimony,	
21	the question is whether it rises to the level of recklessness.	
22	MS. MURRAY: Yes, your Honor.	
23	To that point, I would note it is an isolated instance	
24	of inaccurate information. The second part of that sentence is	

accurate, that law enforcement did observe Burgos driving the

Arroyo - redirect

car in the vicinity of the complex. It's the specific question
about registration of the car. As Detective Arroyo testified
and as the report support, he did run registration checks. He,
himself. So to Mr. Ruhnke's point about I searched law
enforcement databases, he did search law enforcement databases
including for a particular white vehicle and he found that it
was registered to someone with a close affiliation to the
defendant.
I simply think, your Honor, it was a mistake and I do
not believe that this rises to the level of reckless disregard
for the truth.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Ruhnke, anything further?
MR. RUHNKE: It's just he was reckless not to check
whether he had actually run law enforcement database
information before he signed the affidavit under oath and
before he testified in the grand jury under oath that he had
done those things. It's at best a reckless false statement at
best.
Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: I am going take this under advisement.
Thank you everybody.
000